

ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR FREDERICA ROAD REALIGNMENT AT CHRIST CHURCH - READVERTISEMENT  
RFQ# 01138

SOUTHEASTERN ENGINEERING, INC.					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design. Participation	36	36	28	36	34.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	28	31.5	28	28	28.9
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the firm,	16	18	10	2	11.50
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	5	5	5	4.5	4.88
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>90.5</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>70.5</b>	<b>79.25</b>
<b>Proposer's Score</b>	<b>79.25</b>				

GOODWYN, MILLS, CAWOOD					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design. Participation	36	36	16	28	29.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	31.5	28	17.5	21	24.5
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the firm,	16	18	10	2	11.50
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	2	3	1	3.5	2.38
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>44.5</b>	<b>54.5</b>	<b>67.38</b>
<b>Proposer's Score</b>	<b>67.38</b>				

CRANSTON ENGINEERING					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design. Participation	32	28	20	24	26.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	28	24.5	24.5	21	24.5
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the firm,	14	16	12	8	12.50
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	4	4	0.5	3	2.88
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>72.5</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>65.88</b>
<b>Proposer's Score</b>	<b>65.88</b>				

KECK & WOOD					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design.	32	32	32	36	33.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	24.5	28	28	28	27.1
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the	14	16	14	10	13.50
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	4.5	4	2.5	3	3.50
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>76.5</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>77.13</b>
<b>Proposer's Score</b>	<b>77.13</b>				

DRMP					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design.	32	32	20	28	28.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	31.5	31.5	14	21	24.5
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the	18	18	6	2	11.00
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	5	4	1	3.5	3.38
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>86.5</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>54.5</b>	<b>66.88</b>
<b>Proposer's Score</b>	<b>66.88</b>				

ROBERTS ENGINEERING					
Evaluation Criteria	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Average
<b>Technical/Conceptual Abilities (40%)</b> -Operational understanding and capabilities of the complexity of project and scope. Capabilities of the Firm in regards to similar capacity and Scope with Federal and State projects that include innovative concepts and design.	0	0	0	0	0.0
<b>Personnel (35%)</b> - Qualifications of the proposed Project Manager and Key Team Leaders such as but not limited of the NEPA and Construction Project Leads. Resumes of all proposed team leaders.	0	0	0	0	0.0
<b>References (20%)</b> - Ability to furnish the required services. Relevant experience of proposed Team. References and reputation of the	0	0	0	0	0.00
<b>Certification (5%)</b> - DBE Certification	0	0	0	0	0.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Proposer's Score **</b>	<b>0.00</b>				

\*\* Firm was disqualified - Failure to possess Contractor 3.02 GDOT certification (3.0.A -Statement of Work) by bid submittal due date